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## Primes of the Form $n^{4}+1$

## By M. Lal

In this note we report 172 new primes of the form $n^{4}+1$ and tabulate all such primes for $1 \leqq n \leqq 4004$.

Factorization of the numbers of the form $n^{4}+1$ has been extensively studied by Cunningham [1] and Gloden [2], [3]. They used a sieve method based on the four solutions of the congruence equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{4}+1 \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod p) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all primes of the form $8 k+1$. With primes less than $4 \times 10^{6}$, numbers $n^{4}+1$ for $n \leqq 2000$ have been completely factorized.

For $p>4 \times 10^{6}$, it becomes rather difficult and time consuming to solve (1). Consequently, it renders such a sieve less practical. An analysis of the growing inefficiency of such a sieve with increasing $p$ is given in [5, p. 188]. However, the range for $n$ can be extended by using Alway's method [4] of factorization modified for odd divisors of the form $8 k+1$ and testing each number $n^{4}+1$ individually.

With the modified Alway's method, we found all primes for $1 \leqq n \leqq 1000$. This was done to check the program and to provide independent data which is not readily accessible for this interval. The search was then extended to $2000 \leqq n \leqq$ 4004; 172 primes and one prime factor for other composite numbers were indentified. The time required to establish the primality of $n=4002$ is 2.0 hours on the IBM 1620 computer Model II. As the project required several hundred hours of machine time, the search was made on three IBM 1620 computers-one at Memorial and two at Kingston. All primes of the form $n^{4}+1$, complemented by those given in [3] for $1000<n<2040$, are presented in Table 1.

Discussion of Results. Shanks [5] has made a conjecture regarding the number of primes $Q(N)$ of the form $n^{4}+1$ for $1 \leqq n \leqq N$ and has given the following expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(N) \sim .66974 \int_{2}^{N} \frac{d n}{\log n} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The observed count and those computed by using (2), rounded to the first decimal, are given below in Table 2.

Table 1*
Primes of the form $n^{4}+1$

| 1 | 48 | 132 | 204 | 276 | 364 | 492 | 566 | 702 | 772 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 54 | 140 | 210 | 278 | 374 | 494 | 568 | 710 | 778 |
| 4 | 56 | 142 | 220 | 288 | 414 | 498 | 582 | 730 | 786 |
| 6 | 74 | 154 | 228 | 296 | 430 | 504 | 584 | 732 | 788 |
| 16 | 80 | 160 | 238 | 312 | 436 | 516 | 600 | 738 | 798 |
| 20 | 82 | 164 | 242 | 320 | 442 | 526 | 616 | 742 | 800 |
| 24 | 88 | 174 | 248 | 328 | 466 | 540 | 624 | 748 | 810 |
| 28 | 90 | 180 | 254 | 334 | 472 | 550 | 628 | 758 | 856 |
| 34 | 106 | 194 | 266 | 340 | 476 | 554 | 656 | 760 | 874 |
| 46 | 118 | 198 | 272 | 352 | 488 | 556 | 690 | 768 | 894 |
| 912 | 996 | 1144 | 1246 | 1404 | 1536 | 1610 | 1680 | 1788 | 1910 |
| 914 | 1038 | 1150 | 1252 | 1406 | 1540 | 1612 | 1688 | 1806 | 1916 |
| 928 | 1042 | 1152 | 1270 | 1428 | 1542 | 1618 | 1700 | 1820 | 1926 |
| 930 | 1072 | 1170 | 1280 | 1434 | 1552 | 1622 | 1706 | 1824 | 1932 |
| 936 | 1076 | 1180 | 1302 | 1442 | 1554 | 1638 | 1710 | 1836 | 1934 |
| 952 | 1088 | 1200 | 1322 | 1446 | 1558 | 1644 | 1718 | 1850 | 1942 |
| 962 | 1126 | 1202 | 1330 | 1458 | 1568 | 1646 | 1722 | 1854 | 1944 |
| 966 | 1132 | 1218 | 1344 | 1472 | 1586 | 1650 | 1738 | 1864 | 1948 |
| 986 | 1136 | 1236 | 1382 | 1486 | 1594 | 1652 | 1754 | 1870 | 1952 |
| 992 | 1142 | 1238 | 1388 | 1496 | 1598 | 1666 | 1772 | 1892 | 1956 |
| 1962 | 2074 | 2204 | 2260 | 2374 | 2478 | 2560 | 2674 | 2770 | 2964 |
| 1972 | 2102 | 2206 | 2266 | 2376 | 2482 | 2578 | 2676 | 2798 | 2998 |
| 1978 | 2104 | 2222 | 2292 | 2384 | 2486 | 2590 | 2690 | 2804 | 3006 |
| 1986 | 2108 | 2224 | 2296 | 2400 | 2488 | 2598 | 2698 | 2834 | 3012 |
| 1994 | 2126 | 2226 | 2312 | 2408 | 2510 | 2604 | 2700 | 2866 | 3022 |
| 2040 | 2142 | 2238 | 2322 | 2414 | 2512 | 2612 | 2724 | 2872 | 3030 |
| 2044 | 2152 | 2240 | 2336 | 2428 | 2522 | 2622 | 2732 | 2876 | 3046 |
| 2046 | 2158 | 2250 | 2350 | 2432 | 2536 | 2640 | 2734 | 2902 | 3070 |
| 2058 | 2162 | 2254 | 2360 | 2436 | 2546 | 2642 | 2736 | 2936 | 3084 |
| 2068 | 2192 | 2256 | 2368 | 2438 | 2554 | 2646 | 2740 | 2958 | 3090 |
| 3094 | 3246 | 3410 | 3502 | 3626 | 3720 | 3862 | 3972 |  |  |
| 3100 | 3254 | 3416 | 3516 | 3632 | 3752 | 3870 | 3982 |  |  |
| 3104 | 3268 | 3422 | 3522 | 3642 | 3756 | 3872 | 3988 |  |  |
| 3108 | 3286 | 3450 | 3530 | 3644 | 3764 | 3882 | 3992 |  |  |
| 3124 | 3288 | 3456 | 3550 | 3666 | 3780 | 3896 | 3998 |  |  |
| 3128 | 3322 | 3464 | 3574 | 3688 | 3796 | 3910 | 4000 |  |  |
| 3132 | 3326 | 3468 | 3576 | 3692 | 3802 | 3926 | 4002 |  |  |
| 3162 | 3378 | 3472 | 3586 | 3700 | 3842 | 3954 |  |  |  |
| 3200 | 3386 | 3480 | 3618 | 3702 | 3854 | 3958 |  |  |  |
| 3244 | 3390 | 3492 | 3620 | 3718 | 3856 | 3960 |  |  |  |

* I am indebted to the late Professor Albert Gloden for his kind permission to include his results for $1000<n<2040$.

The agreement between the actual and computed counts is remarkably good. From Table 1, one observes that "twin" primes (those where $n^{4}+1$ and $(n+2)^{4}+$ 1 are both primes) occur quite frequently. The number of such twins $P(N, N+2)$ for $1 \leqq n \leqq N$ is given in Table 3 .

On the basis of heuristic arguments [6], one would expect the number

Table 2

|  | Counts |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Observed | Computed |
| 500 | 63 | 67.5 |
| 1000 | 111 | 118.3 |
| 1500 | 150 | 165.3 |
| 2000 | 205 | 210.1 |
| 2500 | 254 | 253.5 |
| 3000 | 292 | 295.8 |
| 3500 | 330 | 337.3 |
| 4000 | 376 | 377.9 |

Table 3
Twin primes

| $N$ | $P(N, N+2)$ | $R=N /(\log N)^{2}$ | $P(N, N+2) / R$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1000 | 18 | 30.96 | .86 |
| 2000 | 30 | 46.62 | .87 |
| 3000 | 46 | 58.80 | .98 |
| 4000 | 58 |  | 1.00 |

$P(N, N+2)$ to be proportional to $N /(\log N)^{2}$ and it is gratifying to note that this is indicated in column 4 above. These twins are analogous to the Gaussian twin primes examined in [7].
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